

केंद्रीय भूमि जल बोर्ड

जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण

विभाग, जल शक्ति मंत्रालय

भारत सरकार Central Ground Water Board

Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti Government of India

AQUIFER MAPPING AND MANAGEMENT OF GROUND WATER RESOURCES AMBIKAPUR BLOCK, SARGUJA DISTRICT, CHHATTISGARH

उत्तर मध्य छत्तीसगढ़ क्षेत्र, रायपुर North Central Chhattisgarh Region, Raipur

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Shri G C Pati, Chairman, Central Ground Water Board for giving opportunity for preparation of Aquifer Map and Management Plan of Ambikapur Block, Surguja district of Chhattisgarh state. I express my sincere gratitude to Shri G.L.Meena, Member (WQ & WTT) CGWB for giving valuable guidance, encouragement and suggestions during the preparation of this report. The author is thankful to Dr. S.K.Samanta, Head of the Office, Central Ground Water Board, NCCR, Raipur extending valuable guidance and constant encouragement during the preparation of this report. I am extremely grateful to Sh. A.K.Patre, Scientist-D, for his continuous quidance and support during preparation of this report. The author is also thankful to Sh A.K. Biswal, Sc-D and Sh. J.R.Verma, Sc.D for the guidance and suggestions. I would like to acknowledge the help rendered by Smt Prachi Gupta, Sc-B while preparing aquifer map. The author is also thankful to Sh G Sreenath, Sc-B and Sh Sidhanta Sahu, Sc-B for providing the data of ground water exploration in Surguja district. The efforts made by Sh. T.S. Chouhan, Draftsman, for digitization of maps are thankfully acknowledged. The author is also thankful to the state agencies for providing the various needful data. The author is thankful to Technical Section, Data Centre, Chemical Section, Report Processing Section and Library of CGWB, NCCR, Raipur for providing the various needful data.

> Uddeshya Kumar Scientist-B (JHG)

AQUIFER MAPPING AND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR AMBIKAPUR BLOCK (SURGUJA DISTRICT), CHHATTISGARH

CONTENTS

| | | <u>Topic</u> | | | Pages |
|------|------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| 1. | Sali | ent Information | | | 01-15 |
| | | About the area | | | |
| | | Population | | | |
| | | Rainfall | | | |
| | | Agriculture and Irrigation | on | | |
| | | Groundwater Resource | Availability | and Extraction | |
| | | Water Level Behaviour | | | |
| 2. | Aq | uifer Disposition | | | 16-18 |
| | | Number of aquifers | | | |
| | | Aquifer wise characteri | stics | | |
| 3. | Gr | ound water resource, ex | traction, cor | ntamination and other issues | 19 |
| | | Aquifer wise resource a | vailability an | d extraction | |
| | | Categorisation | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| | | Chemical quality of grou | und water an | d contamination | |
| 4. | Gr | ound Water Resource er | hancement | | 19 |
| 5. | lss | ues | | | 20 |
| 6. | Ma | anagement plan | | | 20-21 |
| 7. | Со | nclusion | | | 22 |
| ABBR | | ΓΙΟΝS | | | |
| DW | | Dugwell | m bgl | meter below ground level | |
| EC | | Electrical Conductivity | m2/day | Square meter/ day | |
| GS | | Gabion structures | m3/day | cubic meter/day | |
| GW/ | gw | Ground Water | MCM/mcm | Million Cubic Meter | |
| ha | | Hectare | mm | Milimeter | |
| Ham | | Hectare meter | OE | Overexploited | |
| HP | | Handpump (Shallow) | Sq Km | Square Kilometer | |
| lpm | | litres per minute | STP | Sewage Treatment Plant | |

liters per second

meter

lps

m

Т

тw

Transmissivity

Tubewell

AQUIFER MAP AND MANAGEMENT PLAN: AMBIKAPUR BLOCK

1. Salient Information:

<u>About the area:</u> Ambikapur Block is situated on the northern part of Surguja district of Chhattisgarh and is bounded on the north by Surajpur and Balrampur district, in the west by Lakhanpur Block, in the south by Mainpat block and in the east by Lundra and Batauli Blocks. The block area lies between 22.86 and 23.24 N latitudes and 83.02 and 83.35 E longitudes. The geographical extension of the study area is 676.32 sq. km representing around 13 % of the district's geographical area. Administrative map of the block is shown in Fig. 1. Eastern part mainly comprises of structural plains on Gondwana rocks and denudational plateau on Proterozoic rocks and in southern part region of plateau. Geomorphology map is shown in Figure 2. The major drainage of the block includes Banari Nala and Gungata Nala, which are parts of Son sub basin and Ganga Basin. Drainage map shown in Fig. 3.

<u>Population</u>: The total population of Ambikapur block as per 2011 Census is 279717 out of which rural population is 158646 while the urban population is 121071. The population break up i.e. male- female, rural & urban is given below -

| Block | Total population | Male | Female | Rural population | Urban population |
|-----------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|---------------------|
| Ambikapur | 279717 | 142833 | 136884 | 158646 | 121071 |

Table- 1: Population Break Up

Source: CG Census, 2011

<u>Growth rate</u>: The decadal growth rate of the block is 19.36 as per 2011 census.

<u>Rainfall</u>: The study area receives rainfall mainly from south-west monsoon. About 87% of the annual rainfall is received during June to September and July and August are the months of maximum precipitation. The area gets some rainfall during winter season also. Average annual rainfall in the study area is (Average of the last five years i.e. 2013 to 2017) 1185.1 mm with 70 to 80 rainy days.

| Year | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Annual rainfall | 998.9 | 1067.9 | 1081.9 | 1393.0 | 1383.7 |

Table-2: Rainfall data in Ambikapur block in mm

Source: IMD




Figure 1 Administrative Map of Ambikapur Block




Figure 2 Geomorphology Map of Ambikapur Block




Figure 3 Drainage Map of Ambikapur Block

<u>Agriculture and Irrigation</u>: Agriculture is practiced in the area during Kharif and Rabi season every year. During the Kharif, cultivation is done through rainfall while during the Rabi season; it is done through ground water as well as partly through surface water like canals and other sources. The groundwater abstraction structures are generally Dugwells, Borewells /tubewells. The principal crops in the block are Paddy, Wheat, Vegetables and pulses.

In some areas, double cropping is also practiced. The agricultural pattern, cropping pattern and area irrigated data of Ambikapur block is given in Table 3 (A, B, C, D, and E).

| Total geographical area | Revenue forest area | Area not available for cultivation | Nonagricultural & Fallow land | Agricultural Fallow land | Net sown area | Double cropped area | Gross cropped area |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 67632 | 14317.5 | 6830 | 6245 | 5016 | 28839 | 6261 | 35100 |

Table 3 (A): Land use and Agricultural pattern (in ha)

Table 3 (C): Cropping pattern (in ha)

| Khowif | Dahi | Cereal | | | Dulass | Tilber | Fruits and | Sugarage | Mirch | |
|--------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Kharif | карі | Wheat | Rice | Jowar & Maize | Others | Pulses | Tilhan | Vegetables | Sugarcane | Masala |
| 20881 | 804 | 2000 | 21983 | 1372 | 7 | 3427 | 705 | 2353 | 223 | 348 |

Table 3 (D): Area irrigated by various sources (in ha)

| No. of canals (private and Govt.) | Irrigated area | No. of bore wells/ Tube wells | Irrigated area | No. of dug wells | Irrigated area | No. of Talabs | Irrigated area | Irrigated area by other sources | Net Irrigated area | Gross irrigated area | % of irrigated area wrt. Net sown area |
|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 30 | 4311 | 1320 | 236 | 2630 | 272 | 215 | 165 | 1804 | 5743 | 7094 | 20.21 |

| Table 3 (E): Statistics showing Irri | gation by Ground water |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|

| Block | Net Irrigated Area | Net Irrigated Area by ground water | Percentage of Area Irrigated by ground water |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Ambikapur | 5743 | 498 | 8.67 |

<u>Groundwater Resource Availability and Extraction</u>: Based on the resource assessment made, the resource availability in aquifer wise in Ambikapur block is given in the table-4.

| | G | round Water Re | charge (Ham |) | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Monsoor | n Season | Non-monso | oon season | Total Annual | Total | |
| Assessment Unit Name | Recharge from Rainfall | Recharge from Other Sources | Recharge from Rainfall | Recharge from Other Sources | Ground Water (Ham) Recharge | Natural Discharges (Ham) | |
| Ambikapur | 5951.74 | 635.55 | 477.47 | 1742.30 | 8807.06 | 440.35 | |

Table – 4 (A): Ground Water Budget of Ambikapur block in Ham

Table – 4 (B): Ground Water Dynamic Resource (Unconfined Aquifer) of Ambikapur block in Ham

| Tian | Current An | nual Ground | Water Extra | ction (Ham) | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Annual Extractabl e Ground Water Recharge (Ham) | Irrigation Use | Industrial Use | Domestic Use | Total Extraction | Annual GW Allocatio n for Domestic Use as on 2025 | Net Ground Water Availability for future use | Stage of Ground Water Extractio n (%) | Categorizatio n (OE/Critical/S emicritical/Sa fe) |
| 8366.71 | 3793.42 | 2.95 | 733.05 | 4529.42 | 834.77 | 3735.57 | 54.14 | Safe |

Table – 4 (C): Ground Water Static Resource (Unconfined Aquifer) and Dynamic Resource (Confined Aquifer) of Ambikapur block in Ham

| Static | Difference | Storativity | Dynamic Ground | Bottom level | In storage | Sum of |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Resources Area (Ha) | Piezometric Head (Pre- post) m | (S) | Water Resource of Confined Aquifer (Ham) | of the top confining layer (m) | Ground Water Resource of Unconfined Aquifer (Ham) | Dynamic GW (Confined Aquifer) and In storage GW (Unconfined |
| 67632 | 6.4 | 0.000246 | 106.48 | 200 | 12459.84 | Aquifer) resource (Ham) 12566.32 |
| 07032 | 0.4 | 0.000240 | 100.40 | 200 | 12433.04 | 12300.32 |

Existing and Future Water Demand (2025): The existing draft for irrigation in the area is 3793.42 Ham while the total extraction for all uses is 4529.42 Ham. At present scenario to meet the future demand for water, a total quantity of 3735.57 ham of ground water is available for future use.

<u>Water Level Behavior</u>: (i) Pre- monsoon water level: In the pre-monsoon period, it has been observed that in Ambikapur block, water level in dugwells (phreatic aquifer) varies between 3.7 to 9.9 mbgl with average water level of 7.18 mbgl. In semiconfined aquifer, the maximum water level is 7.0 mbgl; the average water level is 28.50 mbgl.

| Block Name | Phreatic Aquifer | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|-----|------|--|--|--|
| block Name | Min | Max | Avg | | | |
| Ambikapur | 3.7 | 9.9 | 7.18 | | | |

Table 5A: Phreatic aquifer Depth to Water Level in mbgl (Pre-monsoon)

| Table 5B [.] | Semiconfined Aqu | ifer Denth to | Water Level in | n mhøl (Pre-mons | ioon) |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| Table JD. | Jenneonnieu Aqu | nei Depinite | | | Joonj |

| Block Name | Semiconfined Aquifer | | | | |
|------------|----------------------|------------|-------|--|--|
| | Min | Min Max Av | | | |
| Ambikapur | 7.0 | 28.50 | 15.95 | | |

(ii) Post- monsoon water level: In the post-monsoon period, it has been observed that the water level varies from 1.06 to 6.0 mbgl with an average of 3.61 mbgl in phreatic aquifer. In semiconfined/fractured formation, the post monsoon water level variation range is 2.75 to 20.50 mbgl with average of 8.59 mbgl.

Table 5C: Phreatic Aquifer Depth to Water Level in mbgl (Post-monsoon)

| Block Name | Phreatic Aquifer | | | |
|------------|------------------|-----|------|--|
| | Min | Max | Avg | |
| Ambikapur | 1.06 | 6.0 | 3.61 | |

Table 5D: Semiconfined Aquifer Depth to Water Level in mbgl (Post-monsoon)

| Block Name | Semiconfined Aquifer | | | | |
|------------|----------------------|-------|------|--|--|
| | Min | Max | Avg | | |
| Ambikapur | 2.75 | 20.50 | 8.59 | | |

(iii) Seasonal water level fluctuation: The water level fluctuation data indicates that in Ambikapur block, water level fluctuation in phreatic aquifer varies from 0.8 to 6.94 m with an average fluctuation of 3.57 m. Water level fluctuation in semiconfined Aquifer varies from 2.0 to 10.80 m with an average fluctuation of 7.35 m.

| Block Name | Phreatic Aquifer | | | |
|--------------|------------------|------|------|--|
| DIOCK Marrie | Min | Max | Avg | |
| Ambikapur | 0.8 | 6.94 | 3.57 | |

Table 5E: Phreatic Aquifer Depth to Water Level Fluctuation (meter)

| Table 5E | Semiconfined | Aquifer D | enth to | Water | Level Fl | luctuation | (meter) | |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|------------|----------|--|
| Table JL. | Jenneonnieu | Aquile D | cpuito | vvatci | LUVUIII | uctuation | lincicit | |

| Block Name | Semiconfined Aquifer | | | | |
|------------|----------------------|-------|------|--|--|
| | Min | Max | Avg | | |
| Ambikapur | 2.0 | 10.80 | 7.35 | | |




Figure 4 Depth to water level map Phreatic Aquifer (Pre-monsoon)




Figure 5 Depth to water level map Phreatic Aquifer (Post-monsoon)




Figure 6 Depth to water level fluctuation map of Phreatic Aquifer




Figure 7 Depth to water level map Semiconfined Aquifer (Pre-monsoon)




Figure 8 Depth to water level map Semiconfined Aquifer (Post-monsoon)




Figure 9 Depth to water level fluctuation map of Semiconfined Aquifer

(iv) <u>The long term water level trend</u>: There is no significant decline in water level in pre and post monsoon period in all observed NHS networks.




Figure 10 a: Hydrograph of Darima village, Ambikapur block




Figure 10 b: Hydrograph of Ambikapur town, Ambikapur block

2. Aquifer Disposition:

<u>Number of Aquifers</u>: There are two major aquifer system viz. Granite Aquifer system and Sandstone Aquifer system. Both the aquifer system has the shallow aquifer and deeper aquifer which occurs in phreatic and semiconfined condition respectively.

3-d aquifer disposition and basic characteristics of each aquifer:

Sandstone Aquifer System:

After studying the exploratory well details in Sandstone aquifer system, it has been envisaged that Gondwanas rock comprise thick beds of sandstone, shale's, clays and coal seams. Sandstones having felsdpathic composition and medium to coarse grained, it is then porous and permeable and forms good aquifers. Sandstone having siliceous matrix behave like impervious hard rocks. Shales are fine grained, compact and though porous lack in permeability and so do not form good aquifers. Among Gondwana formation the Barakar and Suprabarakar sandstones are the most important water bearing formations. These sandstones are medium to coarse-grained felsdpathic and highly porous and permeable. The intergranular pore spaces, joints and fractures control ground water movement in them. Shale beds behave as confining layers and help to form different aquifer systems. The ground water occurs under phreatic, semi confined and confined conditions. Talchir sandstone which is very fine- grained and compact yield comparatively less ground water.

The average thickness of the weathered portion is around 21 m. In general, the discharge varies from meagre to 12.5 lps with an average yield of 4.33 lps. The average drawdown of the formation is around 26 m. Rotary drilling technique is preferred in sandstone aquifer where well construction is required depending upon the water zone and formation encountered. Water zone has been encountered up to 200 meters. Transmissivity range observed is 3.74 to 159.1 sq. meter/day. Details of the aquifer characteristics and water zone encountered are shown in annexure.

Granite Aquifer System:

Groundwater occurrence is largely limited to secondary permeability, such as weathered zones, joints, fractures or faults. The potential of weathered zones depends on the degree and depth of weathering and associated fracturing, and the saturated thickness. The aquifers are generally discontinuous, and often confined. Higher yields are obtained where thick weathered zones are associated with bedrock fracturing.

The average thickness of the weathered portion in the area is around 20 m. In general, the discharge varies from meagre to 5.5 lps. The average drawdown of the formation is around 29 m. DTH drilling technique is preferred in Granite aquifer where well construction is required depending upon the thickness of weathered zone. Water zone has been encountered up to 158 mbgl in the formation. Transmissivity range observed is upto 18.72 sq meter/day.





Figure 11: Aquifer map of Ambikapur block






Figure-12, Disposition of Aquifer, Ambikapur Block

3. Ground water Resource, extraction, contamination and other issues:

Aquifer wise resource availability and extraction: Resource availability of Ambikapur block is given in the table -4 where net ground water availability for future use is 3735.57 ham. The extraction details and the future scenario (2025) along with the categorisation are also depicted in the table-4.

| District | Block | Stage of Ground water development (%) | Categorisation |
|-----------|-----------|--|----------------|
| Ambikapur | Ambikapur | 54.14 | Safe |

Categorisation: Ambikapur block falls in safe category. The stage of Ground water development is 54.14 %. The Net Ground water availability is 6651.02 ham. The Ground water draft for all uses is 4529.42Ham. The Ground water resource for future uses for Ambikapur Block is 3735.57 Ham.

Chemical Quality of Ground water and Contamination: Throughout the study area, the water samples from both dugwell and handpumps were collected and chemical analysis has been completed (Annexure I). Several villages like Katkalo have more Iron concentration.

Overall ground water of the study area is suitable for the drinking, agriculture and industrial purpose. In Ambikapur at 35 villages Fluoride contamination and at 54 villages Iron contamination reported. (Source: https://ejalshakti.gov.in/IMISReports/MIS.html)

4. Ground Water Resource enhancement:

Aquifer wise space available for recharge and proposed interventions:

Table -8: Summarised detail of Volume of porous space available for recharge

| Major Aquifer | Area Identified for Artificial Recharge (Sq. Km) | Sp. Yield for the formation | Volume of vadose zone available for recharge(mcm) | Sub surface storage potential (mcm) |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Sandstone (Gondwana) | 109.30 | 0.02 | 492 | 9.837 |

5. Issues:

- (i) During summer, dugwells in villages becomes dry at many locations. Several handpumps also stop yielding water. The aquifer itself is a low yielding one.
- (ii) In Granite aquifer system potential zone for ground water is related with occurrence of fracture, so drilling a high yield well is always a challenge. Proper scientific study coupled with geophysical investigation may minimize the failure of well.
- (iii) Problems in Tube well / Bore well construction in Sandstone Aquifer System: In case of filter point wells drilled with hand bores, the depth of penetration is variable and whenever the Shale or any other compact layers are encountered, further drilling becomes difficult. When portable rotary rigs are deployed for drilling, the drilling operations become very slow and the pore spaces in fine grained layers are invaded by drilling fluid as a result the discharges tend to be poor. Proper well development is seldom carried out by private drillers and as a result fine sands get deposited in the bore. Sometimes caving of wells are commonly reported particularly when the top loss sand is cased and the bottom shales are drilled with down the hole hammer rig.
- (iv) Problems in ring well construction Sandstone Aquifer System: The common problem is sand filling inside the rings during and after the lowering of rings, thereby practically eliminating the change of deepening of wells to tap more saturated column in summer months. The weep holes provided in the rings allow water with fine sands and gets filled up as and when sand removal is in progress thereby making it difficult for lowering of rings is highly saturated sands.
- (v) High value of Fluoride and Iron has been reported from several locations.

6. Management Plan:

- (i) It has been observed during fieldwork, there is colossal wastage of groundwater through private well and public water supply system. So, Information, Education and Communication (IEC) activities need to be organized to sensitize people on the issues of depleting groundwater resource. Massive awareness campaigns are essential to aware people about the importance of community participation in saving water.
- (ii) Desiltation of existing Tanks and Talabs to be carried out for efficient storage of rainwater. Also Rain water harvesting structures may be constructed in villages to reduce stress on groundwater.
- (iii) It has been observed that the demand of ground water is increasing for irrigation, industrial and domestic uses. At locations where water level is declining, we have to go for artificial recharge on a long-term sustainability basis. Artificial Recharge structures may be constructed at suitable locations especially in the areas where the water level remains more than 3m in the post-monsoon period in this block to arrest the huge non-committed run-off and augment the ground water storage in the area. The different types of artificial structures feasible in the block are described in table-9.

| Name of Block | Area Feasible for recharge (sq.km) | Volume of Sub Surface Potential for Artificial recharge (MCM) | Percolation tank | Nalas bunding cement | Gravity head /Dug well/ tube well/Recharge shaft | Gully plugs Gabion |
|---------------|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Ambikapur | 109.30 | 6.518 | 19 | 28 | 121 | 62 |
| | - | ge Capacity /structure | 0.2192 | 0.0326 | 0.00816 | 0.0073 |

Table-9: Types of Artificial Recharge structures feasible

- (iv) Fluoride and Iron filter plant may be installed in the villages having higher value of contaminants.
- (v) In urban areas STP may be installed for the treatment of sewage water in proper numbers to avoid contamination of ground water. Treatment of sewage water in village through soak pit for the individual houses and Seechewal model or similar model for community level may be adopted to avoid contamination of ground water. Treated water may also be reused for irrigation and other industrial purposes.
- (vi) Since the stage of development in the block is 54.14 %. There is scope of utilizing more ground water for future irrigation purpose. Additional number of Ground water abstraction structure may be developed for the effective utilization of ground water resources in the block. The ground water is presently developed through dug wells and tube wells. Yield potential for the block has been shown in Aquifer map (fig 11). Sites for wells need to be selected only after proper scientific investigation. The ground water quality also needs to be ascertained and the wells used for water supply should be first checked for Iron, Fluoride and other pollutants.

| Table 10: Potential of Additional GW abstraction structure creation | |
|---|--|
| | |

| Net Groundwater availability (ham) | Stage of ground water Developm ent (%) | Present ground water draft (Ham) | Ground water draft at 70% stage of developmen t (ham) | Surplus ground water at present Stage of Development (ham) | Number of TW Recommended in each block (Assuming unit draft as 1.6 ham/structure/year) | Number of DW Recommended in each block (Assuming unit draft as 0.72 ham/structure/year) |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 8366.71 | 54.14 | 4529.42 | 5856.70 | 1327.28 | 498 | 737 |

7. Conclusion:

For effective utilization of Ground water existing draft for irrigation may be coupled with micro irrigation system. Change in irrigation pattern, optimum use of available resource, use of ground water potential created after artificial recharge can lead to groundwater savings and increase in gross cropped area of the block (Table: 11).

Table 11: Detail of groundwater saved through change in cropping pattern and other interventions

| Block | Existing | Additional | GW | Development | Additional | Additional | Percent |
|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| | Gross | Saving of | Potential | by new GW | GW | Irrigation | increase |
| | Ground | GW after | created | abstraction | irrigation | potential | in Crop |
| | Water | using Micro | after | structure | Potential | creation for | area |
| | Draft for | Irrigation | Artificial | | created in | Maize/ | compare |
| | Irrigation | methods in | recharge | | Ham | wheat in | to Gross |
| | in Ham | Ham | structure | | | winter | cropped |
| | | (Assuming | in Ham | | | season in Ha | area |
| | | 30 % | | | | (Assuming | |
| | | saving) | | | | 500 mm | |
| | | | | | | water | |
| | | | | | | requirement) | |
| Ambikapur | 3793.42 | 1138.03 | 651.76 | 1327.28 | 3449.01 | 6898.012 | 19.65% |